arXiv:1610.03267v2 [math.AT] 15 Oct 2016

MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES AND FORMALITY

GEOFFROY HOREL

Abstract. We prove a formality theorem for algebraic objects internal to smooth complex varieties that are not compact but whose mixed Hodge structure has a certain purity property.

Introduction

It has long been observed that Hodge theory is a powerful tool for proving formality results. The first instance of this idea can be found in [DGMS75] where the authors prove that compact Kähler manifolds are formal (i.e. the commutative differential algebra of differential forms is quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology). In [GSNPR05], the authors elaborate on this method and prove that operads (as well as cyclic operads, modular operads, etc.) internal to the category of compact Kähler manifolds are formal.

These two papers, however, restrict to compact manifolds. Our goal in this paper is to explain that, in favorable cases, Hodge theory can also imply certain formality results for algebraic varieties that are open. Our inspiration came from the paper [Dup16] in which Dupont proves formality of smooth complex varieties when the Hodge structure in the degree k cohomology is pure of weight $2k$ (as opposed to k in the compact case). The prototypical example of such a variety is the punctured complex line $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. This paper was also influenced by [Pet14] in which Petersen proves the formality of the little disks operad using the action of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group on the rational chains on that operad. Although the little disks operad is not strictly speaking an operad in algebraic varieties, the action of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group can be thought as a replacement for the mixed Hodge structure.

Our main result is Theorem 3.1. It takes as an input an algebraic object X (e.g. a monoid, an operad, a cyclic operad, etc.) in the category of smooth complex varieties whose Hodge structure is pure of weight $2k$ in degree k. And it asserts the existence of a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms

$$C_*(X,\mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} M \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(X,\mathbb{C})$$

connecting the singular chain complex of X to its homology, in which the middle term M has the same algebraic structure (i.e. is monoid, an operad, a cyclic operad, etc.) and the two maps preserve that algebraic structure.

The method of proof is to first construct a highly structured comparison between singular chains and differential forms (see Theorem 1.1). Then we use a theorem of Deligne (Theorem 2.3) that says that for varieties with such a Hodge structure, the cohomology is computed by the complex of holomorphic forms with logarithmic singularities which is a complex with a zero differential. Finally we use a recent theorem of rigidification due to Hinich that allows us to remove the ∞-categorical machinery from the picture and get the desired statement in terms of a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms.

Key words and phrases. operad formality, mixed Hodge structure.

Acknowledgments. This paper originated from conversations with Vladimir Dotsenko, Sergey Shadrin and Bruno Vallette. I am grateful to Clément Dupont for explaining to me Theorem 2.1 and Thomas Nikolaus for answering a question about symmetric monoidal localization. It is also my pleasure to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for its hospitality and support.

Notations. As a rule, we use boldface letters to denote ∞-categories and normal letters to denote 1-categories. For C a 1-category, we denote by $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{C})$ its nerve seen as an ∞-category.

1. De Rham cohomology vs singular cohomology

For X a smooth manifold, we denote by $(\mathcal{E}^*(X), d_{DR})$ the de Rham complex of smooth differential forms with complex values on X. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_*(-)$ the linear dual of $\mathcal{E}^*(-)$. It is a classical theorem that there is an isomorphism of graded commutative algebra

$$H^*(\mathcal{E}^*(X), d_{DR}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$$

which by the universal coefficient theorem gives an isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathcal{E}_*(X)) \cong H_*(X, \mathbb{C})$$

We denote by $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ the singular chain complex functor from the category of topological spaces to the category of chain complexes. It is a bit less well-known but still true that the two functors $\mathcal{E}_*(-)$ and $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ are naturally quasi-isomorphic as functors from manifolds to chain complexes. This gives a highly structured enhancement of the above isomorphism. This fact is not sufficient for our purposes as we need to use that these two functors are naturally quasi-isomorphic as symmetric monoidal functors. A proof for this last fact does not seem to exist in the literature. In this section, we give a proof based on the theory of ∞-categories.

The functor $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ is lax monoidal. Moreover, the natural map

$$C_*(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes C_*(Y, \mathbb{C}) \to C_*(X \times Y, \mathbb{C})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This implies that $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ induces a symmetric monoidal functor from the category of topological spaces to the ∞-category $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$ of chain complexes over $\mathbb{C}$. We still use the symbol $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ to denote this ∞-functor. Similarly, the functor $\mathcal{E}_*(-)$ is a symmetric monoidal functor from Man (the category of manifolds and smooth maps) to $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$. One way to see it is to observe that

$$\mathcal{E}^* : \mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Man})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$$

is symmetric monoidal for the same reason as above (lax monoidal on the nose and the natural transformation is a quasi-isomorphism) and the linear dual functor $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{op}}$ is also symmetric monoidal. Thus the composite has the structure of a symmetric monoidal functor as well.

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The functors $C_*(-, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{E}_*(-)$ are naturally equivalent symmetric monoidal functors from $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Man})$ to $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We consider the ∞-category $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man})$ of presheaves of spaces on the ∞-category $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Man})$. This is a symmetric monoidal ∞-category under the product. We can consider the reflective subcategory $\mathbf{T}$ spanned by presheaves X satisfying the following two conditions

(1) Given a Cech covering $U_\bullet \to M$ of a manifold M, the induced map
$$X(M) \to \lim_{\Delta} X(U_\bullet)$$
is an equivalence.
(2) For any manifold M, the map $X(M) \to X(M \times \mathbb{R})$ induced by the projection $M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ is an equivalence.

The presheaves satisfying these conditions are stable under product, hence the ∞-category $\mathbf{T}$ inherits the structure of a symmetric monoidal locally presentable ∞-category. It has a universal property that we now describe.

Given another symmetric monoidal locally presentable ∞-category $\mathbf{D}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathbf{T},\mathbf{D})$ the ∞-category of colimit preserving symmetric monoidal functors $\mathbf{T}\to\mathbf{D}$. Then, we claim that the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathbf{T},\mathbf{D})\to\mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathbf{N}\mathrm{Man},\mathbf{D})$$

is fully faithful and that its essential image is the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Man},\mathbf{D})$ spanned by the functors that satisfy the following two properties

(1) Given a Cech covering $U_\bullet\to M$ of a manifold M, the map
$$\mathrm{colim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} F(U_\bullet)\to F(M)$$
is an equivalence.
(2) For any manifold M, the map $F(M\times\mathbb{R})\to F(M)$ induced by the projection $M\times\mathbb{R}\to M$ is an equivalence.

This statement can be deduced from the theory of localizations of symmetric monoidal ∞-categories (see [Hin16, Section 3]).

In particular, there exists an essentially unique symmetric monoidal and colimit preserving functor from $\mathbf{T}$ to $\mathbf{S}$ (the ∞-category of spaces) that is determined by the fact that it sends a manifold M to the simplicial set $\mathrm{Sing}(M)$. This functor is an equivalence of ∞-categories. This is a floklore result. A proof of a model category version of this fact can be found in [Dug01, Proposition 8.3.].

The ∞-category $\mathbf{S}$ is the unit of the symmetric monoidal ∞-category of presentable ∞-categories. It follows that it has a commutative algebra structure (which corresponds to the symmetric monoidal structure coming from the cartesian product) and that it is the initial symmetric monoidal presentable ∞-category. Since $\mathbf{T}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{S}$ as a symmetric monoidal presentable ∞-category, we deduce that, up to equivalence, there is a unique functor $\mathbf{T}\to\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$ that is symmetric monoidal and colimit preserving. But, using the universal property of $\mathbf{T}$, we easily see that $C_*(-,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{E}_*(-)$ can be extended to symmetric monoidal and colimits preserving functors from $\mathbf{T}$ to $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that they must be equivalent. □

2. Logarithmic forms and formality

We denote by $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the category of smooth algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. For Y a smooth proper variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and D a normal crossing divisor of Y with complement X, we denote by $\Omega^*(Y,\log D)$ the complex of meromorphic forms on Y with logarithmic singularities along D. Restricting to X, such forms are in particular smooth forms on X. Therefore, there is an inclusion of cochain complexes

$$\Omega^*(Y,\log D)\to\mathcal{E}^*(X)$$

Theorem 2.1 (Deligne)**.** *The cochain complex $\Omega^*(Y,\log D)$ has a trivial differential. Moreover the map*

$$\Omega^*(Y,\log D)\to\mathcal{E}^*(X)$$

induces an injection in cohomology and its image is the first layer of the Hodge filtration on $H^*(X)$. In particular, the above map is an isomorphism in cohomology if the Hodge structure on $H^k(X)$ is pure of weight $2k$ for all k.

Proof. This is a consequence of [Del71, Corollaire 3.2.13, 3.2.14]. □

Remark 2.2. This theorem is a quite powerful tool to prove formality results. For instance in [AP15, Theorem 1.10], the authors use it to prove the formality of a certain chain level model for the gravity operad.

For X a smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$, we define a complex, $\Omega^*_{\log}(X)$ by the formula:

$$\Omega^*_{\log}(X) := \mathrm{colim}_{(Y,D)}\, \Omega^*(Y, \log D)$$

where the colimit is taken over the category of smooth compactifications $X \to Y$ of X such that $D = Y - X$ is a normal crossing divisor in Y. More explicitly, $\Omega^*_{\log}(X)$ can be described as the subcomplex of $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$ consisting of those smooth forms ω that become meromorphic with logarithmic singularities in some compactification of X. We claim that the assignment $X \mapsto \Omega^*_{\log}(X)$ is a contravariant functor from the category $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the category of chain complexes over $\mathbb{C}$. This can be done exactly as in [BT15, Section 3.1.] where the authors construct a presheaf $A^*_{\log}$ on the category $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is a variant of our $\Omega^*_{\log}$.

By well-known theorems of Nagata and Hironaka, for any smooth complex variety X, there exists a smooth proper variety Y and an open immersion $X \to Y$ such that $Y - X$ is a normal crossing divisor. It follows that the colimit defining $\Omega^*_{\log}$ is nonempty. It can also be shown that the colimit is a filtered colimit. Thus, we deduce from Theorem 2.1 that the map $\Omega^*(Y, \log D) \to \Omega^*_{\log}(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all compactification Y of X.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_*(X)$ the linear dual of the functor $\Omega_{\log}(X)$. There is a natural transformation $\rho : \mathcal{E}_* \to \mathcal{D}_*$ which is dual to the inclusion $\Omega^*_{\log} \to \mathcal{E}^*$. By the universal coefficient theorem and the fact that $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$ and $\Omega^*_{\log}(X)$ have finite dimensional cohomology, we see that $\mathcal{E}_*(X) \to \mathcal{D}_*(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism precisely when $\Omega^*_{\log}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^*(X)$ is one.

Now, we can further observe that the functors $\mathcal{E}^*$ and $\Omega^*_{\log}$ are lax symmetric monoidal functors from the category $(\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ to the category $\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$ and the map $\rho(X) : \mathcal{E}_*(X) \to \mathcal{D}_*(X)$ is a symmetric monoidal natural transformation. As observed above, both of them induce symmetric monoidal functors from $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}})$ to $\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$.

These two facts can be combined into the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *The two functors $\mathcal{E}_*$ and $\mathcal{D}_*$ can be given the structure of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})$, the natural transformation $\rho : \mathcal{E}_* \to \mathcal{D}_*$ can be given the structure of a symmetric monoidal natural transformation. Moreover, the transformation ρ is an equivalence when one restricts it to the full subcategory of $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ spanned by varieties X such that the Hodge structure on $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight $2k$ for all k.*

3. Main theorem

For $\mathcal{O}$ a colored operad in sets, we denote by $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$, the category of algebras over $\mathcal{O}$ in the symmetric monoidal category of chain complexes. This category has a model structure in which the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms (see [PS14, Theorem 1.2.]). We denote by W the class of weak equivalences in $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$.

There is an ∞-categorical version of this category. Namely, we can consider $\mathcal{O}$ as an ∞-operad and construct the ∞-category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$ (see [Lur12, Definition 2.1.3.1.] for a precise definition). An object of $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra up to coherent homotopies. There exists a functor $\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))) \to \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$ that inverts the quasi-isomorphisms. It therefore induces a functor

$$\iota : \mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})))[W^{-1}] \to \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$$

This functor is constructed in details in [Hin15].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a colored operad in sets. Let A be an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra in the category of smooth complex varieties which is such that for each color m of $\mathcal{O}$ and for each integer k, the Hodge structure on $H^k(A_m, \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight $2k$. Then $C_*(A, \mathbb{C})$ is formal as an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra.*

Proof. In the ∞-category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$, there is an equivalence

$$C_*(A,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathcal{E}_*(A)$$

that follows from Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, there is an equivalence $\mathcal{E}_*(A) \to \mathcal{D}_*(A)$ coming from our assumption on the Hodge structure and Theorem 2.3. Finally, the chain complexes $\mathcal{D}_*(A_m)$ has zero differential for each m.

Now, we use the functor

$$\iota : \mathbf{N}(\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C})))[W^{-1}] \to \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$$

Both $A \mapsto C_*(A,\mathbb{C})$ and $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_*(A)$ factor through ι. Hence, we are reduced to proving that, if two objects of $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$ are sent to equivalent objects in $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$, then they are already quasi-isomorphic in $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Ch}_*(\mathbb{C}))$. This follows from the fact that ι is an equivalence of ∞-categories (*cf.* the main theorem of [Hin15] or [PS14, Theorem 7.10.]). □

4. Applications

4.1. **Noncommutative little disks operad.** The authors of [DSV15] introduce two nonsymmetric topological operads $\mathcal{A}s_{S^1}$ and $\mathcal{A}s_{S^1} \rtimes S^1$. In each arity these operads are given by a product of copies of $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and the operads maps can be checked to be algebraic maps. It follows that the operads $\mathcal{A}s_{S^1}$ and $\mathcal{A}s_{S^1} \rtimes S^1$ are operads in the category $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and that the Hodge structure satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1. We deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *The operads $C_*(\mathcal{A}s_{S^1},\mathbb{C})$ and $C_*(\mathcal{A}s_{S^1} \rtimes S^1,\mathbb{C})$ are formal*

Remark 4.2. Note that the fact that the operad $C_*(\mathcal{A}s_{S^1},\mathbb{C})$ is formal is proved in [DSV15, Proposition 7] by a more elementary method and it is true even with integral coefficients.

Using the method of [GSNPR05, Theorem 6.2.1.] and the above theorem, we can also deduce the following.

Theorem 4.3. *The operads $C_*(\mathcal{A}s_{S^1},\mathbb{Q})$ and $C_*(\mathcal{A}s_{S^1} \rtimes S^1,\mathbb{Q})$ are formal*

Remark 4.4. In the forthcoming paper [Hor16b], I will prove that these operads are also formal with coefficients in finite fields.

4.2. **Self-maps of the projective line.** We denote by F_d the algebraic variety of degree d algebraic maps from $\mathbf{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ to itself that send the point ∞ to the point 1. Explicitly, a point in F_d is a pair (f,g) of degree d monic polynomials without any common roots. Sending a monic polynomials to its set coefficients, we may see the variety F_d as a Zariski open subset of $\mathbf{A}^{2d}_{\mathbb{C}}$. See [Hor16a, Section 5] for more details.

Proposition 4.5. *The complex variety F_d is such that $H^k(F_d,\mathbb{C})$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight $2k$ for each k.*

Proof. The variety F_d is denoted $\mathrm{Poly}_1^{d,2}$ in [FW16, Definition 1.1.]. It is explained in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 1.2. in that paper that the variety F_d is the quotient of the complement of a hyperplane arrangement H in $\mathbf{A}^{2d}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the group $\Sigma_d \times \Sigma_d$ acting by permuting the coordinates. A transfer argument then shows that $H^k(F_d,\mathbb{C})$ is a subspace of $H^k(\mathbf{A}^{2d}_{\mathbb{C}} - H,\mathbb{C})$. Moreover this inclusion is a morphism of mixed Hodge structure. Since the mixed Hodge structure of $H^k(\mathbf{A}^{2d}_{\mathbb{C}} - H,\mathbb{C})$ is well-known to be pure of weight $2k$ (see [Kim94] for instance), the desired result follows. □

In [Caz12, Proposition 3.1.], Cazanave shows that the scheme $\bigsqcup_d F_d$ has the structure of a graded monoid in $\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The structure of a graded monoid can be encoded by a colored operad. Thus the following follows from our main theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *The graded monoid in chain complexes* $\bigoplus_d C_*(F_d, \mathbb{C})$ *is formal.*

Again, using a variation of the method of [GSNPR05, Theorem 6.2.1.], one can also prove formality with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$.

References

[AP15] J. Alm and D. Petersen. Brown's dihedral moduli space and freedom of the gravity operad. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.09274*, 2015.

[BT15] U. Bunke and G. Tamme. Regulators and cycle maps in higher-dimensional differential algebraic K-theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 285:1853–1969, 2015.

[Caz12] C. Cazanave. Algebraic homotopy classes of rational functions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 45(4):511–534, 2012.

[Del71] P. Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971.

[DGMS75] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan. Real homotopy theory of kähler manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 29(3):245–274, 1975.

[DSV15] V. Dotsenko, S. Shadrin, and B. Vallette. Noncommutative $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015.

[Dug01] D. Dugger. Universal homotopy theories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 164(1):144–176, 2001.

[Dup16] C. Dupont. Purity, Formality, and Arrangement Complements. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):4132–4144, 2016.

[FW16] B. Farb and J. Wolfson. Topology and arithmetic of resultants, I: spaces of rational maps. *New York J. Math.*, 22:801–821, 2016.

[GSNPR05] F. Guillén Santos, V. Navarro, P. Pascual, and A. Roig. Moduli spaces and formal operads. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 129(2):291–335, 2005.

[Hin15] V. Hinich. Rectification of algebras and modules. *Doc. Math.*, 20:879–926, 2015.

[Hin16] V. Hinich. Dwyer–Kan localization revisited. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 18(1):27–48, 2016.

[Hor16a] G. Horel. Motivic homological stability for configuration spaces of the line. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(4):601–616, 2016.

[Hor16b] G. Horel. Étale cohomology and formality. *in preparation*, 2016.

[Kim94] M. Kim. Weights in cohomology groups arising from hyperplane arrangements. *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120(3):697–703, 1994.

[Lur12] J. Lurie. *Higher algebra*. 2012.

[Pet14] D. Petersen. Minimal models, GT-action and formality of the little disk operad. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 20(3):817–822, 2014.

[PS14] D. Pavlov and J. Scholbach. Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.5675*, 2014.

Institut Galilée, Université Paris 13, 99 avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

E-mail address: horel@math.univ-paris13.fr